


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/077,735	HARMSTON, TERRY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>final</u>		
(1) <u>Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO)</u> .	(3) _____		
(2) <u>Mr. Steven Kahlm</u> .	(4) _____		
Date of Interview: <u>7 February 2007</u>	Time: <u>pm</u>		
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
<i>n/a</i>			
Claims discussed:			
13-15			
Prior art documents discussed:			
<i>n/a</i>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>Applicant was faxed a copy of the Office Action of 7-14-03 and agreed to an Examiner's Amendment consistent with the proposed changes indicated in the Office Action.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)